IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.3892 OF 2005

Ramchandra Ishwara Jamdar : Petitioner

(Orig. Obstructionist)

V/s.

Akhil Maharashtra Natya Vidya Mandir & Anr.: Respondents

(Orig.D.H. & J.D.)

...

Mr.R.S.Kate with Ms R.S. Sawant for the petitioner.

None present for the respondents.

...

CORAM : S.A. BOBDE, J.

June 22, 2005.

P.C.:

1. The petitioner has raised an objection in Regular Darkhast No.196/1984 that he is entitled for protection under section 5(11)(c) of the Rent Act. The trial Court has held that there is no sufficient evidence on record to show that the property is in the petitioner's possession. Even here, it is not demonstrated how the petitioner is entitled to protection of section 5(11)(c). There is already an earlier order below exh.63 that all the heirs are not entitled for protection. On this ground, there is no merit in this petition which essentially seeks to

interfere with a finding of fact. There is no error of law apparent on the face of the order.

2. The Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

S.A. BOBDE, J.